

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,908	07/21/2003	Paul John Kawula	50623.245	5357	
Charles E. Run	7590 12/22/2006 van		EXAM	INER	
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.			PELLEGRIN	PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E	
Suite 300 One Maritime I	Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco, CA 94111			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	12/22/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/623,908	KAWULA, PAUL JOHN	LA, PAUL JOHN		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Brian E. Pellegrino	3738			

·	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.0,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	·		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-8,22,23 and 27-29</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-21,24-26 and 3	<u>0-46</u> .		
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	·	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant argues that Brandau does not appear to disclose a ceramic layer. However, as mentioned in the Final rejection of 9/27/06 the Examiner pointed out that Brandau disclosese the surface has an outer covering that can be ceramic, col. 3, lines 27-29. Applicant additionally states that Brandau does not disclose an oxide layer and ceramic component in combination. However, as mentioned in the final rejection, Brandau discloses that the surface can have both, col. 3, lines 28,29. Also Fig. 4 illustrates that when two materials are attached together there is a porous region and a less porous region, thus since the ceramic attached with an oxide layer to the surface of the implant is disclosed, it can be said the ceramic thus has an outer porous region and a second less porous region attached to the oxide layer.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bron & Pellegrino